

1 Mexico would be left with?

2 2. What has the RCLC accomplished for the good of Northern New Mexico in
3 the past two years? And since 2011 when it was formed?

4 3. What has been the response of the RCLC to the NM BBER study prior to
5 today?

6 4. What has been, and will, be, the RCLC position advocating for a WEIES on
7 expanded pit production?

8 5. Given the scale of the LANL budget, how successful has the RCLC been in
9 receiving LANL support for community issues outside of Los Alamos?

10 6. Do you view RCLC as a watchdog agency charged with monitoring the
11 activities of LANL? If so, what examples can you give where the RCLC acted
12 against LANL interests? Thank you.

13 Chair Roybal hearing no other comments, closed public comment.

14 **E. Discussion/Action Items**

15 a. Discussion and possible action on contract extension and close out with
16 Chicanos Por La Causa. As per Chair Roybal's direction, Executive Director
17 Vasquez emailed the following conditions to the Board as follows:

18 1. CPLC is to be paid in full for July, August and September. This may
19 require a BAR.

20 2. That all efforts made to prep for grant renewal be handled by the fiscal
21 agent or staff of another member entity or other contractor. CPLC or I
22 cannot prepare it.

23 3. Due to the timeline of an RFP, that the RFP be administered by a
24 member entity or other contractor.

25 4. As the investigation into the BBER study and overall fiscal impact on
26 the RCLC member community, is being a paramount issue that the board
27 sign a letter to LANL requesting that they authorize BBER to share their
28 financial impact with us at a level sufficient to allow us to assess the
29 study methodology and results.

30 5. That the Board contracts with BBER or other similar organization to
31 review the study and/or replicate with LANL data. This is expensive so the
32 Board will have to be willing to dig into their reserves. Following grant
33 renewal that should not be a problem.

34 6. As part of the transition process, CPLC will make available all files and
35 materials in our possession to the Board chair or persons identified by
36 the board. We will also provide all website control credentials and sit
37 with a person designated by the board to go over website mechanics.
38 Sometime in the last month, we will also schedule an exit conference
39 with the board and/or persons identified by the board for handover.

40 7. Due to the mechanics of a transfer, CPLC will not take on additional
41 responsibilities or special projects, unless negotiated ahead of time.

42
43 Chair Roybal called for questions. After much discussion and clarification
44 of contract terms and conditions, Councilor Izraelevitz motioned for the

1 CPLC contract for Executive Director be terminated in 30 (thirty) days to
2 expire on August 30, 2020 and to add a request to LANL for additional
3 information about their BBER report to be placed on the agenda for the
4 August 21, 2020 RCLC Board meeting. Chair Roybal called for a roll call
5 vote. Motion passed unanimously.

6 b. Discussion and possible action on RFP development for executive
7 director, timeline and publication. Executive Director Vasquez stated that
8 in the past, a committee was appointed and suggested the Executive
9 Committee could serve in that role. Secondly, which government entity
10 would be issuing the RFP on behalf of the RCLC? Treasurer Izraelevitz
11 stated that Los Alamos County has a home rule and would like to see that
12 another RCLC entity issue the RFP. Board member Garcia said the City of
13 Santa Fe could not issue it at this time. Vice-chair Fernandez stated the
14 same. Board member Jaramillo stated the same. Director Vasquez
15 suggested the executive board could negotiate this task. Chair Roybal
16 stated that a meeting on Wednesday, August 5, 2020 from 5:00-7:00 pm
17 be scheduled for the executive committee only. All executive members
18 agreed and confirmed meeting time. Chair Roybal moved to the next
19 item.

20 c. Discussion and possible action regarding DOE EM grant application
21 process. Treasurer Izraelevitz requested Executive Director draft the
22 request before he leaves. Executive Director is open to prepare the grant
23 request; however, he would need additional information (credentials,
24 registration) to complete it. Treasurer Izraelevitz asked him to fill out as
25 much information as he could and forward to him. Treasurer Izraelevitz
26 stated that Los Alamos County would not be the point of contact for this
27 process; however, he will ask staff to share what was done in the past on
28 the application to see how to move forward with this application.

29 d. Discussion and possible action regarding next steps for the amended JPA.
30 Treasurer Izraelevitz requested the status on the JPA approval for the
31 missing entities. Executive Director stated that the City of Santa Fe is
32 moving the JPA to the full Council. Ohkay Owingeh Pueblo is having their
33 attorney review. No additional information on Jemez Pueblo. Chair
34 Roybal suggested a letter be prepared to the entities left to see if they
35 would like to be a part of this Board. Chair Roybal called for questions.
36 Treasurer Izraelevitz asked Executive Director the process of finalizing the
37 JPA. Executive Director stated that once the JPA's have been approved by
38 the participating entities, the signature pages are to be collected and
39 submitted to DFA for approval. Once DFA approves the JPA becomes
40 active. If the entities that have not responded decide to become a part of
41 the RCLC later, their approved JPA signature page can be submitted to
42 DFA for approval and added at that time.

43 e. Discussion and possible action regarding review of 2019 BBER LANL
44 economic impact study. Chair Roybal asked Executive Director to update.

1 Executive Director stated the Director of BBER would be presenting at the
2 upcoming August 21, 2020 meeting. He emailed the Board to request
3 their questions that are due by August 10, 2020. He also will draft a letter
4 for the Boards review regarding the contract extension.

- 5 f. Discussion and review of the website. Executive Director stated it was
6 requested to have the Zoom RCLC board meetings placed on the website;
7 however, the video uploads have been difficult. The audio recordings
8 have been successful. The web designer stated that if the meetings are
9 on the web cloud we do not own it. Therefore, a drop box was created to
10 store them and then they can be transferred for new ownership. Board
11 member Garcia asked if it was required due to the open meetings act.
12 Director Vasquez said that neither, the audio nor video was required, but
13 the organization was seeking to provide it. Board member Garcia then
14 said this is something to work toward developing. Chair Roybal asked if
15 the minutes are posted on the website. Executive Director said that the
16 meetings minutes are linked on the website as part of the meeting
17 documents. Treasurer Izraelevitz stated that under the specified tab
18 “Transparency”, there is nothing posted and he requested Executive
19 Director have the finalized minutes posted prior to the August 21, 2020.
20

21 **Board Comments**

22 Chair called for comments from the board. Treasurer Izraelevitz thanked
23 Executive Director Vasquez for his work in getting the RCLC where it is today. He
24 also thanked the other board members for their work. He reported on a meeting
25 he attended with the Energy Community Alliance where they discussed work
26 force development. He said there were many good ideas such as tapping the
27 high schools and colleges to develop skills necessary for a career at LANL. He
28 stated that this may be something the RCLC can get behind. Director Vasquez
29 encouraged Board members to join the ECA. Vice-chair Fernandez, Board
30 member Garcia, Board member Jaramillo and Chair Roybal, each thanked
31 Director Vasquez for his hard work over the last two years, and appreciated
32 getting the RCLC under compliance through his support and guidance.

33 **F. Adjournment**

34 Chair Roybal called for a motion to adjourn. Motion to adjourn by Treasurer
35 Izraelevitz. Second by board member Jaramillo. Motion passed unanimously.
36 Meeting ending time 5:45 p.m.

37
38 **END**
